

1 Bingham McCutchen LLP
DAVID M. BALABANIAN (SBN 37368)
2 CHRISTOPHER B. HOCKETT (SBN 121539)
JOY K. FUYUNO (SBN 193890)
3 Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
4 Telephone: (415) 393-2000
Facsimile: (415) 393-2286
5
6 Attorneys for Defendant
Intel Corporation

7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11

12 RONALD KONIECZKA, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware
corporation,

16 Defendant.
17

No. C-05-2700

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER TO CONTINUE FILING DATE
FOR DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO
PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

18 IT IS STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES, THROUGH THEIR
19 COUNSEL AS FOLLOWS:

20 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff Ronald Konieczka and Defendant Intel
21 Corporation hereby stipulate that Intel Corporation's response to Plaintiff's complaint shall be
22 due either 60 days after transfer of the above captioned case pursuant to any motion to coordinate
23 or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407 or, in the alternative, 45 days
24 after any such motion has been denied. The parties request this transfer because the plaintiffs in
25 *Brauch, et al. v. Intel Corp.*, No. C 05-2743 (BZ) (N.D. Cal., filed July 5, 2005), a related matter,
26

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE RESPONSE DATE

1 have filed a petition to coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section
2 1407, and the above-styled action has been identified as a related action to that petition. As a
3 result the outcome of the pending petition will impact significantly the schedule of this case.

4 This is the first stipulation between the parties. Because this litigation has just
5 begun, granting such a stipulation will not have any negative impact on the schedule of this case.


6 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED.
7 DATED: July 19, 2005

8 Bingham McCutchen LLP

9

10

11

By: 
JOY K. FUYUNO
Attorneys for Defendant
Intel Corporation

12

13

14

15

Law Offices of Jeffrey F. Keller

16

17

By: 
JEFFREY F. KELLER
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ronald Konieczka

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE DATE**

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Intel Corporation's response to
3 Plaintiff's complaint shall be due either 60 days after transfer of the above captioned case
4 pursuant to any motion to coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section
5 1407, or, in the alternative, 45 days after any such motion has been denied.

6 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7 Dated: July _____, 2005

8
9
10 **Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel**
11 **United States District Judge**
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26